

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/801,932	LU, LARRY L.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Taghi T. Arani	2131	

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

(2) Babak Akhlaghi.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006

Time: 4:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

91, 93-103

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner raised the USC 101 issues relating to claim 91, 93-103, where the system claim 91 comprises "software module" without being tangibly embodied on a computer medium and/or processing device. The Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims to incorporate "at least one processing device; and a storage device storing instructions for causing the at least one processing device to perform operations" the steps of claim 91, 92-103. Further amendment was agreed which are reflected in the Examiner's Amendment.